

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Prevalence, risk factors and adverse pregnancy outcomes of second trimester bacterial vaginosis among pregnant women in Bukavu, Democratic Republic of the Congo

Guy Mulinganya^{1,2,3*}, Annelies De Vulder³, Ghislain Bisimwa^{1,2}, Jerina Boelens^{4,5}, Geert Claeys⁴, Karen De Keyser^{3,4}, Daniel De Vos⁶, Erick Hendwa², Freddy Kampara^{1,2}, Yvette Kujirakwinja^{1,2}, Jules Mongane^{1,2}, Innocent Mubalama², Mario Vaneechoutte⁴, Steven Callens³, Piet Cools⁴

1 Faculty of Medicine, Catholic University of Bukavu, Bukavu, Democratic Republic of The Congo, **2** Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Hôpital Provincial Général de Référence de Bukavu, Bukavu, Democratic Republic of The Congo, **3** Department of Internal Medicine and Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium, **4** Department of Diagnostic Sciences, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium, **5** Department of Medical Microbiology, Ghent University Hospital, Ghent, Belgium, **6** Laboratory for Molecular and Cellular Technology, Burn Wound Center, Queen Astrid Military Hospital, Brussels, Belgium

* guymuling@gmail.com



OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Mulinganya G, De Vulder A, Bisimwa G, Boelens J, Claeys G, De Keyser K, et al. (2021) Prevalence, risk factors and adverse pregnancy outcomes of second trimester bacterial vaginosis among pregnant women in Bukavu, Democratic Republic of the Congo. *PLoS ONE* 16(10): e0257939. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0257939>

Editor: Susan A. Bartels, Queen's University at Kingston, CANADA

Received: January 31, 2020

Accepted: September 14, 2021

Published: October 25, 2021

Copyright: © 2021 Mulinganya et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its [Supporting information files](#).

Funding: This study was funded by the Vlaamse Interuniversitaire Raad University Development Cooperation (VLIR-UOS).

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Abstract

Background

Bacterial vaginosis (BV) is the most common gynecological condition in women of reproductive age and associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes. In the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC), neonatal mortality rate is as high as 2.8 percent with preterm birth (PTB) and low birth weight (LBW) as leading causes. Because no studies have addressed BV in DRC, we aimed to investigate the prevalence of BV, the risk factors and the association between BV and adverse pregnancy outcomes in a population of pregnant women from Bukavu, DRC.

Methods

A total of 533 pregnant women in the second trimester of pregnancy were recruited in the Provincial Reference Hospital of Bukavu, DRC, between January and October 2017, and followed until delivery. Clinical and sociodemographic data of mother and newborn, and data on (vaginal) hygiene practices, sexual behavior and reproductive history were collected. BV was diagnosed by Nugent scoring of Gram-stained vaginal smears. Two multivariate regression models were built to identify risk factors for BV and to investigate BV as a risk factor for adverse pregnancy outcomes.

Results

The prevalence of BV was 26.3% and approximately half of the women with BV were asymptomatic. Independent risk factors for BV were the use of alternatives to water for

intravaginal washing, concurrent partners, unemployed status, the presence of vaginal *Candida* and clay consumption. BV was independently associated with both LBW and PTB of an infant with LBW.

Conclusion

The prevalence of BV in Bukavu is high but in line with the global average. BV was associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes in our study population. Hence, research on modifiable risk factor-based interventions to reduce the prevalence of BV, and on screening/treatment of BV during antenatal care should be explored to reduce neonatal mortality and morbidity.

Introduction

Bacterial vaginosis (BV) is the most common gynecological disorder in women of childbearing age worldwide [1]. A recent review estimated that the prevalence of BV in the general population in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) is approximately 25%, in line with the estimated global prevalence (26%) [2]. Microbiologically, BV is understood as a dysbiosis of the healthy vaginal microbiota. This dysbiosis is characterized by the depletion of the one or few *Lactobacillus* species that normally predominate this niche and the heavy overgrowth of a more diverse anaerobic microbiota [3]. BV has been shown to be asymptomatic in the majority of cases (roughly 80% in populations from the United States) [4, 5] but can also be accompanied with clinical symptoms such as discharge, odour, pain, itching and/or a burning sensation. There is a vast literature on sequelae associated with BV including pelvic inflammatory disease [6] and an increased acquisition and/or transmission of sexually transmitted infections such as HIV [7, 8], HSV-2 [9], *Chlamydia trachomatis* [10], *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* [10] and *Trichomonas vaginalis* [10].

Importantly, BV has also been associated with infertility and adverse pregnancy outcomes (APOs) such as chorioamnionitis [11], late miscarriage, spontaneous abortion [12], preterm birth (PTB) and low birth weight (LBW) [13–15]. PTB complications are the leading cause of the yearly 2.9 million neonatal deaths [16]. LBW is an important public health marker and problem [17]. More than 80% of neonatal deaths are newborns with LBW, of which two thirds are born preterm and one third are born term but are small-for-gestational-age [17]. Most of the PTB and LBW burden is in low-income settings, with SSA having the highest PTB rates [16–18]. One of the countries with the highest neonatal mortality rates is the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC) (14‰) [19]. However, data on the prevalence of BV and risk factors for BV are largely unknown in SSA and—to the best of our knowledge—non-existing for DRC. Furthermore, no studies in DRC, and only very few in SSA, have investigated the association of BV with adverse pregnancy outcomes. Therefore, the aim of this study was to examine the prevalence of BV, the associated risk factors and adverse pregnancy outcomes in women from Bukavu, DRC.

Materials and methods

Ethical approval

This study was ethically approved by the internal review board of the Catholic University of Bukavu (reference number UCB/CIE/NC/016/2016), by the Ministry of Public Health of DRC

(reference number 062/CD/DPS/SK/2017) and by the Ethical Committee of Ghent University Hospital (reference number PA2014/003). Women who were found eligible for the study were orally informed in detail on the study and were asked to sign an informed consent form.

Study design, population and context of the study

The current study is part of the AVEONS study, an acronym of Angamiza Vizuri (Swahili for “break well” or “stop”) Early Onset Neonatal Sepsis. The AVEONS study has the overall aim to describe the prevalence of early onset neonatal sepsis (EONS) in Bukavu (South Kivu, DRC), to document the pathogens causing EONS and their antibiotic susceptibility patterns, and to investigate the role of maternal infections during pregnancy on APOs.

The province of South Kivu is located in the eastern part of DRC, a region characterized by high social, economic and political instability [20, 21]. Thousands of people have been forced to flee their homes and seek refuge either in larger cities such as Bukavu or in neighboring countries (Tanzania and Burundi) [22, 23]. In South Kivu, the prevalence of contraception use by married women and unmarried sexually active women has been estimated to be respectively 64.8% and 94.5% [19]. The fertility rate in South Kivu has been appraised at 7.6%, the access to at least one antenatal visit for pregnant women 93.5% and the home delivery rate 8.9% [19].

The AVEONS study was a prospective observational study where pregnant women were seen between 16 and 20 weeks (visit 1 (V1) = recruitment visit), between 36 and 38 weeks (V2) and at delivery. Newborns were seen at delivery and during the first week of life. This first manuscript of the AVEONS study reports on a cross sectional analysis of women seen at V1 and pregnancy outcomes.

Recruitment and inclusion in the study

We recruited study participants among pregnant women seeking antenatal care at the Provincial Referral Hospital of Bukavu (PRHB) between January and October 2017. To increase awareness of the AVEONS study, church announcements, radio and TV spots and posters were used. Women were also informed on the study during community leaders' meetings. Pregnant women who were interested in participating were briefed individually in detail. If women were still interested after this information session, they were screened for inclusion applying the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Women were considered for inclusion if they were between 16–20 weeks of gestational age, agreed to receive antenatal care only at PRHB, accepted to deliver at PRHB and were willing to be contacted by phone (to increase adherence by phone call and text message reminders). Women were not considered eligible if they planned to move out of Bukavu during their pregnancy, had twin pregnancies, suffered from genital bleeding and/or if they used antibiotics in the two weeks before V1. Pregnant women were reimbursed for their transport to and from PRHB.

Routine antenatal care and delivery procedures

At V1, a general physical examination including anthropometric measurements (including height, weight, body mass index (BMI) and mid-upper arm circumference (MUAC)) was performed. A gynecological examination was performed, and the vaginal mucosa and cervix were inspected for sores and tumors. In case women had abnormal vaginal discharge, an abnormal foul smell, experienced itching and/or a burning sensation after intercourse, a vaginal infection was diagnosed according to the syndromic-based protocol for the management of pregnancy issued by the Ministry of Public Health of DRC [24]. These symptomatic women were treated empirically according to the local protocol (daily clotrimazole (200 mg) against candidiasis

and clindamycin (100 mg) against BV for six days). In case of allergy against clindamycin, a daily metronidazole ovule was prescribed for six days.

During the gynecological examination, the vaginal pH was determined by means of an indicator pH paper (Hilindicator pH paper). An ultrasound examination was performed at V1 to assess the viability of the fetus and the cervical length. At 24 weeks of gestation, participants were offered a single dose of mebendazole (500 mg) against soil-transmitted helminths and a single dose of sulfadiazine-pyrimethamine (500 mg) against malaria as recommended in the pregnancy protocol. Participants further followed antenatal care as usual.

At V1, five mL of blood was collected in a tube without anticoagulant. Subsequently, the serum was tested for HIV, malaria and hemoglobin using rapid tests (Alere Determine™ HIV-1/2 (Abbott), Malaria AG P.f/pan (Bioline) and Hemocue Hb201+ (Hemocue AB), respectively). A urine sample was collected in a sterile container and tested for the presence of white blood cells and nitrite (indicating a urinary tract infection or bacteriuria) by Multistix® dipsticks (Siemens). A vaginal swab (COPAN) was taken by gently rolling the top of the swab against the midportion of the vaginal wall. The swab was rolled on three glass slides, one smear was used for routine wet mount microscopy and two were used for Gram-staining (Nugent score). The wet mount was examined microscopically immediately after collection of the vaginal swab for the presence of clue cells (one of the four Amsel criteria, used for the diagnosis of BV in clinical practice), *Candida* cells and/or hyphae and *Trichomonas vaginalis*.

At delivery, the labor was followed, and appropriate data such as obstetrical parameters (uterine height, fetal presentation, status of the fetal membranes, number of vaginal examinations, maternal temperature, the use of the childbirth kit) were collected by nurses and the senior assistant. Neonates were subjected to a general examination and anthropometric parameters (length, weight and head circumference) were assessed by a pediatrician. The presence of an early neonatal infection was assessed based on the WHO criteria, i.e., the presence of at least one of the following signs: temperature instability, lethargy, feeding intolerance, respiratory distress, hemodynamic instability, convulsion, hypotonia, irritability or bleeding diathesis [25].

Study specific laboratory tests

Slides for Gram-staining were heat fixated, stored at room temperature and shipped to the Laboratory Bacteriology Research (Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium), where Gram-staining was carried out with an automated PolyStainer (IUL). All Gram-stained slides were scored according to the Nugent scoring system [26] for the laboratory-based diagnosis of BV. Briefly, five microscopic fields per Gram-stained slide were scored on a 1000x magnification for the presence and quantity of *Lactobacillus* (Gram-positive rods), *Gardnerella vaginalis*/*Bacteroides* (Gram-variable coccobacilli) and *Mobiluncus* (Gram-negative curved rods) cell types. The smear was then categorized as representing a healthy vaginal microbiota (Nugent score 0–3), an intermediate vaginal microbiota (Nugent score 4–6) or BV (Nugent score 7–10). Furthermore, during the Nugent scoring, we also documented the presence of *Candida* cells and/or hyphae. All slides were scored single-blinded by two independent readers. In case of a discrepancy in categorization, the slide was reassessed by the two reviewers and discussed. If no consensus was obtained, a third person assessed the slide as a tie breaker.

Questionnaires

At V1, data on the sociodemographic characteristics, reproductive health history, sexual behavior, vaginal practices and complaints of the pregnant women were obtained in a confidential way using a questionnaire (S1 File). Women were questioned individually in Swahili by

a gynecologist or gynecologist in training, who was also responsible for the patient's care. The interview took about 20 minutes and answers were noted on printed questionnaires.

Data analysis

All clinical data forms, laboratory forms and questionnaires of each participant were scanned and stored as a single file. The raw data were then captured in the CSPRO software by means of double data entry. The 'compare data tool' of the CSPRO software was used to compare the two data sets. In case of discrepancies, the raw data were consulted, and discrepancies were solved. The two datasets were further checked for discrepancies in STATA 14 (Stata Corp, College Station, Texas, USA) before making one final locked dataset for analysis.

Categorical variables were summarised into frequencies and proportions, continuous variables into median and interquartile range (IQR).

First, we determined the prevalence of BV, defined based the Nugent score, and reported this prevalence with a 95% confidence interval (CI). A Kappa test was calculated to evaluate the agreement in categorization based on the Nugent score between the two readers. The agreement was considered as poor (<0.00), slight ($0.00-0.20$), fair ($0.21-0.40$), moderate ($0.41-0.60$), substantial ($0.61-0.80$) or almost perfect ($0.81-1.00$) [27].

Second, to determine independent risk factors for BV (defined as a Nugent score of 7–10), we built a multivariate model. Here, plausible explanatory variables were sociodemographic characteristics, sexual behavioral and vaginal hygiene characteristics and laboratory findings. Logistic regression was applied to assess the association between the various risk factors and BV. Independent variables with a p-value <0.10 in the univariate analysis were incorporated into a multiple regression model. In this model, independent variables were considered as statistically significantly associated with BV if the p-value was <0.05 .

Third, to determine whether BV itself was a risk factor for one or several APOs, we estimated unadjusted odds ratios and adjusted odds ratios applying logistic regression models. We considered PTB, LBW, preterm birth of a LBW infant (PTB-LBW), premature rupture of membranes (PROM), and suspicion of EONS as dependent variables. Here, BV was considered as the independent variable. PTB was defined as delivery before 37 completed weeks of gestation, LBW as birth weight $<2,500$ g at delivery, PTB-LBW as delivery occurring before 37 weeks of gestation with a birth weight $<2,500$ g. Suspicion of EONS was defined on the basis of WHO criteria, i.e., a neonate with minimum one of the following symptoms: temperature instability, lethargy, feeding intolerance, respiratory distress, hemodynamic instability, convulsion, hypotonia, irritability or bleeding diathesis [25]. We did not assess the association between BV and second trimester pregnancy loss because the number of cases were not sufficient to draw statistically significant conclusions. A modified Poisson regression model with robust standard error was built using generalized linear regression equations to model the link. APOs with a significant association with BV in the univariate analysis were selected for the multivariate model. In this model, to better control the effect of BV on APOs, we included a priori covariates for APOs that were expected to be relevant, based on literature [15, 28–30]. These covariates were vaginal *Candida* colonization (as assessed by means of microscopic examination of Gram-stained slides), anemia, MUAC, cervix length, parity, BMI, maternal age, education level, previous PTB and high diastolic blood pressure at V1 (≥ 90 mm Hg).

Results

Sociodemographic description of the study population

The flowchart of the number of pregnant women that were screened, included in the study and seen over the different visits and the number of newborns is shown in Fig 1.




Fig 1. Flowchart of study. WGA, weeks of gestational age.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0257939.g001>

A total of 750 pregnant women were screened, and 533 were found eligible and enrolled in the cohort (V1). A total of 104 women (19.5%) who started in the study withdrew from the study before V2. The main reasons were the rejection of the study by some opinion leaders (who incorrectly thought women received a substantial payment for participation) and the unstable sociopolitical situation in Bukavu city during the study period. A total of 26 women had a second trimester pregnancy loss. Of the 354 women who completed V2, 66 (12.4%) decided to not deliver at PRHB but near their home and 288 delivered at term. Of all newborns in the study, 288 (85.5%) were born at term and 49 (14.5%) were born preterm. Data for seven newborns were unavailable during follow-up due to uncompleted forms.

The sociodemographic characteristics of the study population are summarized in [Table 1](#) and presented in S12. The median age was 28.0 years (IQR 8.0 years). All participants lived in Bukavu. The majority lived in poverty (72.6%), completed the primary school (88.4%) and nearly all were married (95.5%). Most participants were from the Shi tribe (66.7%) and almost all were Christians (93.7%).

The pregnancy outcomes of the study population are summarized in [Table 2](#).

Table 1. Sociodemographic characteristics and pregnancy outcomes of the study population stratified by vaginal microbiota categorization.

Characteristics of pregnant women	Number 525 ¹ (%)	Vaginal microbiota		
		Healthy microbiota 285 (54.3)	Intermediate microbiota 102 (19.4)	Bacterial vaginosis. 138 (26.3)
Age at recruitment				
<20 years	26 (5.0)	13 (4.6)	5 (4.9)	8 (5.8)
20–24 years	113 (21.5)	65 (22.8)	17 (16.7)	31 (22.5)
25–29 years	172 (33)	94 (33.0)	36 (35.3)	43 (31.2)
30–34 years	135 (25.7)	72 (25.3)	30 (29.4)	33 (23.9)
≥35 years	78 (14.9)	41 (14.4)	14 (13.7)	23 (16.7)
Tribe				
Shi	374 (71.2)	203 (71.2)	83 (81.4)	88 (63.8)
Rega	57 (10.9)	32 (11.2)	8 (7.8)	17 (12.3)
Other tribes ²	94 (17.9)	50 (17.5)	11 (10.8)	33 (23.9)
Religion³				
Christians	492 (93.7)	266 (93.3)	94 (92.2)	132 (95.7)
Not Christian	33 (6.3)	19 (6.7)	8 (7.8)	6 (4.4)
Educational level⁴				
Primary	62 (11.8)	35 (12.3)	11 (10.8)	16 (11.6)
Secondary	273 (52.0)	151 (53.0)	54 (52.9)	68 (49.3)
Higher	190 (36.2)	99 (34.7)	37 (36.3)	54 (39.1)
Quality of life⁵				
Poor	381 (72.6)	209 (73.3)	74 (72.6)	98 (71.0)
Not poor	144 (27.4)	76 (26.7)	28 (27.5)	40 (29.0)
Employment status				
Employed or self-employed	89 (17.0)	57 (20.0)	17 (16.7)	15 (10.9)
Unemployed	436 (83.1)	228 (80.0)	85 (83.3)	122 (89.1)
Marital status				
Married	501 (95.4)	273 (95.8)	100 (98.0)	128 (92.8)
Unmarried	24 (4.6)	12 (4.2)	2 (2.0)	10 (7.3)
Clinical status⁶ at first visit				
Symptomatic	254 (48.4)	126 (44.2)	55 (53.9)	73 (52.9)
Asymptomatic	271 (51.6)	159 (55.8)	47 (46.1)	65 (47.1)

¹ Eight slides did not contain biological material or appeared damaged,

² Tembo, Fuliru, Hunde, Nyanga, Hutu, Nande, Vira, Bembe, each with a proportion < 2.5%;

³ Christian represents Catholics, Protestants, Anglicans, Kimbanguistes and Christian Revival Church; not Christian represents Muslims, Animists, and atheists;

⁴ Participants who not yet ended their level were included in that level;

⁵ Taking into account local parameters, poverty was calculated considering the type of the floor, water source, electricity and commodities in the house. The total score ranged from 4 to 17, a score < 10 was considered under the threshold of poverty, a score ≥10 was considered above the threshold of poverty. We did not include income in the score calculation because it is very unstable and depends mainly on the informal sector,

⁶ Participants were symptomatic if they presented with abnormal vaginal discharge, vaginal itching, burning vaginal sensation after sexual intercourse and/or foul smell from vagina.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0257939.t001>

The prevalence of BV

A total of 525 slides were scored according to Nugent. Eight slides did not contain biological material or appeared damaged. The Kappa statistic of the interrater agreement between the two independent readers after the first reading was 0.84 (considered *almost perfect* [27]) and all discrepancies were resolved. A total of 285 women (54.3%; 95% CI, 49.9–58.6%) had a healthy vaginal microbiota, whereas 102 (19.4%; 95 CI, 16.1–23.1%) had an intermediate

Table 2. Pregnancy outcomes stratified by vaginal microbiota categorization.

Outcomes	Number 330 ¹ (%)	Vaginal microbiota		
		Healthy microbiota 182 (55.1)	Intermediate microbiota 64 (19.4)	Bacterial Vaginosis 84 (25.5)
Low birth weight²				
Yes	17 (5.2)	5 (2.8)	4 (6.3)	8 (9.5)
No	313 (94.9)	177 (97.3)	60 (93.8)	76 (90.5)
PROM³				
Ruptured	68 (20.6)	35 (19.2)	13 (20.3)	20 (23.8)
Intact	262 (79.4)	147 (80.8)	51 (79.7)	64 (76.2)
Admission to NICU for suspicion of early-onset neonatal sepsis				
Yes	14 (4.2)	10 (5.5)	3 (4.7)	1 (1.2)
No	316 (95.8)	172 (94.5)	61 (95.3)	83 (98.8)
Preterm birth⁴				
Yes	48 (14.6)	25 (13.7)	9 (14.1)	14 (16.7)
No	282 (85.5)	157 (86.3)	55 (85.9)	70 (83.3)
Preterm delivery of low birth weight				
<2500gr	15 (31.3)	4 (16.0)	4 (44.4)	7 (50.0)
≥2500gr	33 (68.8)	21 (84.0)	5 (55.6)	7 (50.0)

NICU, neonatal intensive care unit; PROM, premature rupture of membranes;

¹ Data for seven newborns were unavailable during follow-up due to uncompleted forms;

² <2500 gram [31];

³ Premature rupture of membranes before the onset of the labor;

⁴ <37 completed weeks of gestation [31].

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0257939.t002>

microbiota and 138 (26.3%; 95% CI, 22.6–30.3%) had BV. Slightly less than the half of the pregnant women with BV were asymptomatic (47.1%).

Risk factors for BV

The univariate associations are shown in SI3. Six risk factors were found to be significantly associated with BV at the $p < 0.05$ level. The highest OR for BV was found to be (an) extramarital relationship(s) of the study participant (OR: 4.35; 95% CI: 1.21–15.66). One or more extramarital partner of the husband were also associated with higher odds of BV (OR: 2.34; 95% CI: 1.29–4.23). The odds of having BV was approximately twice as high for women with vaginal *Candida* (as assessed by microscopic examination of Gram-stain smears) (OR: 1.60; 95% CI: 1.06–2.43). Women who were unemployed were more likely to have BV (OR: 1.94; 95% CI: 1.07–3.51). Clay consumption was associated with lower odds of BV (OR 0.58; 95% CI: 0.36–0.94). Women who used varied substances for intimate toilets and women who were from minority tribes also had higher odds of having BV (OR: 2.03; 95% CI: 1.28–3.23 and OR: 1.76; 95% CI: 1.08–2.86).

The multivariate model for risk factors of BV is shown in Table 3. In this model, extramarital partner(s) of the husband was found to be an independent risk factor for BV (aOR: 2.15; 95% CI: 1.14–4.05). Also, the use of varied substances (compared to water) during intimate toilets was found to be independently associated with BV (aOR: 2.13; 95% CI: 1.30–3.49). The detection of *Candida* cells and/or hyphae in Gram-stained vaginal smears was an independent risk factor for BV (aOR: 1.59; 95% CI: 1.03–2.46). Women who consumed clay had lower odds of having BV (aOR: 0.47; 95% CI: 0.28–0.78). The unemployed status was found to be independently associated with BV (aOR: 1.95; 95% CI: 1.06–3.61) and more than one sexual partner

Table 3. Multivariable model of risk factors associated with bacterial vaginosis in pregnancy.

Variable	aOR (95% CI)
Unemployed status	1.95 (1.06–3.61)
Husband has had concurrent extra-marital female sexual partners in the last six months	2.15 (1.14–4.05)
Pregnant woman has had concurrent extra-marital male sexual partners in the last six months	3.54 (0.83–15.03)
>1 sexual partner (of the participant) during lifetime	1.55 (1.02–2.34)
Substances (other than water) used during intimate toilet ¹	2.13 (1.30–3.49)
Clay consumption ²	0.47 (0.28–0.78)
Vaginal <i>Candida</i> cells and/or hyphae on Gram-stained vaginal smears	1.59 (1.03–2.46)

aOR, adjusted odds ratio; CI, confidence interval;

¹ Soap, herbs, mixed powders, lemon, disinfectant products;

² More or equal to a regular total consumption of 100 g of clay per day during current pregnancy; Bold, $p < 0.05$.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0257939.t003>

(of the participant) during lifetime was independently associated to BV (aOR: 1.55; 95% CI: 1.02–2.34).

BV as risk factor for adverse pregnancy outcomes

Table 4 provides the univariate associations of BV and an intermediate vaginal microbiota with APOs. BV was statistically significantly associated with higher risk of LBW (RR: 3.47; 95% CI: 1.17–10.30) and LBW for PTB (RR: 3.12; 95% CI: 1.09–8.94). No statistically significant associations were found between BV and the other APOs (PTB, PROM, suspicion of EONS), and between an intermediate vaginal microbiota and any of the APOs.

Table 5 documents the multivariable association of BV with those APOs found to be significantly associated in univariate analysis, i.e., PTB and PTB-LBW. In this model, we corrected for different possible confounding factors identified in literature. BV was significantly and independently associated with a higher risk of both LBW (adjusted relative risk (ARR): 3.52; 95% CI: 1.20–10.32) and PTB-LBW (ARR: 5.67; 95% CI: 2.12–15.18).

Discussion

BV is the most prevalent gynecological condition in women of reproductive age worldwide [2] associated with discomfort, sexually transmitted co-infections and APOs, but—to the best of our knowledge—no studies on BV have been performed in DRC.

Our study in pregnant women from Bukavu (DRC) shows a BV prevalence of 26.3%, which is in line with the BV prevalence of 25% in the general population of women of reproductive

Table 4. Univariate analysis of BV and an intermediate vaginal microbiota as risk factor for adverse pregnancy outcomes.

Outcomes	PTB		LBW		PTB-LBW		PROM		Suspicion of EONS	
	OR (95% CI)	p-value	OR (95% CI)	p-value	OR (95% CI)	p-value	OR (95% CI)	p-value	OR (95% CI)	p-value
Healthy vaginal microbiota	Ref.		Ref.		Ref.		Ref.		Ref.	
Intermediate vaginal microbiota	1.02 (0.50–2.08)	0.948	2.28 (0.63–8.23)	0.210	2.78 (0.86–8.95)	0.087	1.06 (0.60–1.87)	0.851	0.85 (0.24–3.01)	0.805
Bacterial vaginosis	1.21 (0.66–2.22)	0.529	3.47 (1.17–10.30)	0.025	3.12 (1.09–8.94)	0.034	1.24 (0.76–2.01)	0.389	0.22 (0.03–1.67)	0.142

CI, confidence interval; EONS, early-onset neonatal sepsis; LBW, low birth weight; OR, Odds ratio; PROM, premature ruptures of membranes; PTB, preterm birth; PTB-LBW, preterm birth of a low birth weight newborn; Ref., Reference category; Bold, $p < 0.05$.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0257939.t004>

Table 5. Multivariate model for the association between bacterial vaginosis (risk factor) with low birth weight or low birth weight for preterm birth.

	LBW	PTB-LBW
	ARR (95%CI)	ARR (95%CI)
Vaginal microbiota		
Intermediate vaginal microbiota	1.64 (0.39–6.87)	4.57 (1.34–15.57)
Bacterial vaginosis	3.52 (1.20–10.32)	5.67 (2.12–15.18)
Haemoglobin < 110 g/L	3.84 (1.55–9.52)	5.86 (1.96–17.50)
Cervical length(mm) ≥ 30 mm	0.41 (0.14–1.16)	1.80 (0.64–5.05)
Parity ≥ 3	0.18 (0.03–0.99)	0.20 (0.08–0.55)
Previous preterm birth	9.73 (2.77–34.14)	7.08 (1.89–26.62)
Age at first sexual intercourse ≥ 18	0.49 (0.19–1.25)	0.59 (0.28–1.25)

Model adjusted for confounder factors (sociodemographic characteristics, sexual behavioral parameters, vaginal hygiene practices and laboratory findings). ARR, adjusted relative risk; bold, $p < 0.05$.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0257939.t005>

age in SSA, documented in a recent meta-analysis [2]. Studies that assessed the prevalence of BV in pregnant women from SSA are summarized in Table 6 and report prevalences ranging from 6.4% (Burkina Faso) to 48.5% (Uganda). Among the possible reasons for the discrepancies in prevalence between these different studies are varying criteria of diagnosis of BV (Nugent, Amsel, Wet mount) [32, 33], different behavioral characteristics such as sexual

Table 6. Summary of studies reporting on the prevalence of BV in pregnant women from sub-Saharan Africa.

Country	Prevalence (%)	Asymptomatic (%)	Diagnosis	Reference
Botswana	38.0	76.0	Nugent	[36]
Burkina-Faso	6.4	N/A	Nugent	[37]
Burkina-Faso	13.0	N/A	Amsel [#]	[38]
Ethiopia	19.4	63.3	Nugent	[39]
CAR	29.1	N/A	Nugent	[40]
DRC	26.3	47.1	Nugent	current study
Kenya	19.3	N/A	Nugent	[41]
Kenya	29.0	N/A	Nugent	[34]
Kenya	22.0	N/A	Nugent	[42]
Malawi	30.0	N/A	Wet mount	[43]
Nigeria	11.9	N/A	Amsel	[44]
Nigeria	26.0	N/A	Nugent	[13]
Nigeria	38.0	N/A	Nugent	[45]
South-Africa	31.0	N/A	Nugent	[34]
South-Africa	37.3	47.1%	Nugent	[46]
Tanzania	28.5	N/A	Nugent	[47]
Tanzania	20.9	N/A	Amsel	[48]
Togo	38.2	N/A	Nugent	[49]
Uganda	48.5	N/A	Nugent	[50]
Zimbabwe	32.6	N/A	Amsel	[51]

[#]detection of clue cells, one of the Amsel criteria, was performed on Gram-stained slides instead of on wet mounts (which is normally used when using Amsel criteria); CAR, Central African Republic; DRC, Democratic Republic of the Congo.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0257939.t006>

behavior and vaginal hygienic practices [34], but also different ethnical profile and sociodemographic characteristics [35].

In our study, nearly half of women diagnosed with BV by means of the Nugent score were asymptomatic. Compared to other studies in SSA (Table 6), the proportion of women in our population that was asymptomatic is largely comparable but smaller to what is reported in studies from industrialized countries [52].

Concurrent sexual partners, vaginal hygienic practices and *Candida* are independent risk factors for BV

There is controversy regarding BV being a sexually transmitted infection (STI). According to several authors, BV is not an STI because of the lack of a clear etiological agent and the lack of a peer disease in men [53, 54]. Other authors support the hypothesis that BV is a sexually associated or enhanced disease, rather than an STI [55, 56]. We found that pregnant women whose partners had concurrent sexual partners had a two-fold increase in BV compared to pregnant women whose partner did not have other sexual partners. Raising awareness on the potential harm that multiple sex partners may have on a healthy vaginal microbiota—even with condom use [56]—could be a potential way to promote a healthy pregnancy.

We found that women who applied substances intravaginally such as soap, antiseptics, lemon juice or herbs had a nearly two-fold higher chance of having BV. In DRC, these practices are performed for hygienic reasons (soap, antiseptics) and/or to increase sexual pleasure for both men and women by drying and tightening the vagina (lemon juice, herbs, plants, powders) [57]. An individual participant data meta-analysis reported a positive association between disturbances of the healthy vaginal microbiota and intravaginal cleaning with soap, but not with the application of cloth or paper in women from SSA [58]. In contrast, in a multi-country study in SSA, Jespers and coworkers (2014) did not find an association between BV and intravaginal washing, drying or tightening of the vagina [34]. In an *in vitro* study, Aslan and coworkers (2018) showed that commercially available vaginal douching products had a detrimental effect on vaginal lactobacilli [59]. In our study, we learned that most women had no knowledge on BV and that they were convinced that an intimate toilet using varied substances was beneficial for hygienic reasons, although we did not specifically ask about this in our questionnaires.

In our study, concurrent vaginal *Candida* colonization was independently associated with an approximately two-fold increased odds for BV. A study in Chinese pregnant women found that vaginal candidiasis, defined by means of wet mount, was an independent risk factor for BV (defined by the Nugent score) [60]. In India, Rathod and co-workers (2012) found that women clinically diagnosed with BV, but not women with BV defined by Nugent, had a higher prevalence of vulvovaginal candidiasis, defined as a *Candida*-positive vaginal culture with the presence of vaginal signs and symptoms (discharge, itching, erythema) [61]. In pregnant women from Mwanza (Tanzania), Shayo and co-workers did not find an association between BV (defined by Nugent) and candidiasis (methodology of assessment not reported) [47]. Of note, pregnant women have been reported to have a higher prevalence of *Candida* colonization/vaginitis compared to non-pregnant women [34, 62, 63].

In our study, over a quarter of pregnant women reported to consume clay. This geophagia was independently associated with a lower chance of having BV, for reasons that remain unclear to us. Interestingly, several authors have reported that some clays have an *in vitro* bactericidal effect against a wide range of bacteria [64–66], but the antibacterial mechanisms remain unclear [64]. Possibly, a similar bactericidal effect might help to eradicate the

BV-associated microbiota. Also, severe BV has been independently and inversely associated with intake of some nutrients such as folate, vitamin E and calcium [67], and it might be possible that such an (improved) uptake is linked to clay geophagia in our study. However, in general, clay consumption should be discouraged because of the several possible harmful effects such as the presence of high iron oxides, high lead concentration, infectious eggs of soil-transmitted helminths, and the association with anemia [68, 69].

BV is an independent risk factor for low birth weight and preterm delivery of a newborn with low birth weight, but not for PTB

In our study population, compared to women with a healthy vaginal microbiota, pregnant women with BV had approximately a fourfold increased risk to deliver a neonate with LBW and a sixfold increased risk to deliver a preterm neonate with LBW. In a multicentre study from the United States of America, Hillier and co-workers found a similar independent association between BV and PTB-LBW (aOR, 1.4) [29]. A large Danish cohort study also reported BV to be significantly and independently associated with both LBW (aOR, 2.0) and PTB-LBW (aOR 2.5) [70].

Globally, one in seven newborns are born with a LBW. LBW is a crucial underlying determinant and contributor to neonatal and infant mortality and nearly half of all perinatal and one-third of all infant deaths are in newborns with LBW [71]. Given that BV increases the risk for LBW in our study population, further studies should explore whether behavioural changes addressing the BV risk factors we identified can reduce LBW prevalence. For example, women could be informed on the harmful effects of certain vaginal hygienic practices during antenatal care or family planning. BV merits more attention and awareness during prenatal care, although screening of pregnant asymptomatic women for BV, and treatment to improve pregnancy outcomes, remains controversial [72].

Although several studies report an association of BV with PTB [73–75], in our study, BV was not associated with PTB.

Study limitations

Our study was limited by the fact that the number of women who delivered at our hospital maternity was less than foreseen due to the socio-political situation in Bukavu during the study period. After inclusion of the first visit, approximately one third of the study participants were lost during follow-up, due to unforeseen circumstances such as delivery near home because of worsening crisis and unsafety in the region, and, sadly because of the negative influence of some opinion leaders in the community. As we were aware of such threats, we tried to overcome this burden by asking in advance whether they were willing to deliver in the hospital (inclusion criterion) rather than at home. Furthermore, one third of participants were paid a small fee for transport to the hospital.

A second limitation is that we only analyzed BV in second trimester and not the first and third trimester. Given that the vaginal microbiota is highly dynamic [76], it is unclear what the BV status of pregnant women in our study population in the first and third trimester was. Further longitudinal studies on a large scale are required to assess the relation between BV during pregnancy and the occurrence of APOs.

A third limitation is that, despite the confidentiality during the participant interview, asking about intimate relationships and extramarital sexual partners, could have biased our results because of taboo. In addition, women might have been unaware of (an) extramarital relationship(s).

Conclusion

In conclusion, BV was highly prevalent in pregnant women in Bukavu, and associated with modifiable risk factors such as vaginal hygiene practices. Given that BV forms an increased risk for important APOs, further local research is needed to better understand this link and to reduce the BV prevalence in pregnancy.

Supporting information

S1 File. Questionnaire.

(PDF)

S2 File. Sociodemographics, anthropometrics, sexual and hygiene behavioral parameters, clinical and laboratory findings stratified by status of the vaginal microbiota.

(DOCX)

S3 File. Univariate regression analysis of pregnant women's sociodemographics, anthropometrics, sexual and hygiene behavioral parameters; and bacterial vaginosis at visit 1.

(DOCX)

S4 File. STROBE checklist.

(DOCX)

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Guy Mulinganya, Steven Callens, Piet Cools.

Data curation: Guy Mulinganya, Steven Callens, Piet Cools.

Formal analysis: Guy Mulinganya.

Funding acquisition: Guy Mulinganya, Steven Callens.

Investigation: Guy Mulinganya, Annelies De Vulder, Karen De Keyser, Daniel De Vos, Erick Hendwa, Freddy Kampara, Yvette Kujirakwinja, Jules Mongane, Innocent Mubalama, Piet Cools.

Methodology: Guy Mulinganya, Annelies De Vulder, Geert Claeys, Piet Cools.

Project administration: Guy Mulinganya, Ghislain Bisimwa, Steven Callens, Piet Cools.

Resources: Guy Mulinganya, Jerina Boelens, Geert Claeys, Daniel De Vos, Mario Vaneechoutte.

Software: Guy Mulinganya.

Supervision: Steven Callens, Piet Cools.

Validation: Guy Mulinganya, Piet Cools.

Writing – original draft: Guy Mulinganya.

Writing – review & editing: Guy Mulinganya, Annelies De Vulder, Ghislain Bisimwa, Jerina Boelens, Geert Claeys, Karen De Keyser, Daniel De Vos, Erick Hendwa, Freddy Kampara, Jules Mongane, Innocent Mubalama, Mario Vaneechoutte, Steven Callens, Piet Cools.

References

1. Menard JP, Mazouni C, Fenollar F, Raoult D, Boubli L, Bretelle F. Diagnostic accuracy of quantitative real-time PCR assay versus clinical and Gram stain identification of bacterial vaginosis. *Eur J Clin*

- Microbiol Infect Dis. 2010; 29(12):1547–52. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10096-010-1039-3> PMID: 20814710
2. Peebles K, Velloza J, Balkus JE, McClelland RS, Barnabas RV. High Global Burden and Costs of Bacterial Vaginosis: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Sex Transm Dis.* 2019; 46(5):304–11. <https://doi.org/10.1097/OLQ.0000000000000972> PMID: 30624309
 3. Verstraelen H, Verhelst R, Claeys G, Temmerman M, Vanechoutte M. Culture-independent analysis of vaginal microflora: the unrecognized association of Atopobium vaginae with bacterial vaginosis. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2004; 191(4):1130–2. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2004.04.013> PMID: 15507931
 4. Klebanoff MA, Schwebke JR, Zhang J, Nansel TR, Yu K-F, Andrews WW. Vulvovaginal symptoms in women with bacterial vaginosis. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2004; 104(2):267–72. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.AOG.0000134783.98382.b0> PMID: 15291998
 5. Koumans EH, Sternberg M, Bruce C, McQuillan G, Kendrick J, Sutton M, et al. The prevalence of bacterial vaginosis in the United States, 2001–2004; associations with symptoms, sexual behaviors, and reproductive health. *Sex Transm Dis.* 2007; 34(11):864–9. <https://doi.org/10.1097/OLQ.0b013e318074e565> PMID: 17621244
 6. Srinivasan S, Fredricks DN. The human vaginal bacterial biota and bacterial vaginosis. *Interdiscip Perspect Infect Dis.* 2008;2008. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2008/750479> PMID: 19282975
 7. Atashili J, Poole C, Ndumbe PM, Adimora AA, Smith JS. Bacterial vaginosis and HIV acquisition: a meta-analysis of published studies. *AIDS.* 2008; 22(12):1493–501. <https://doi.org/10.1097/QAD.0b013e3283021a37> PMID: 18614873
 8. Cohen CR, Lingappa JR, Baeten JM, Ngayo MO, Spiegel CA, Hong T, et al. Bacterial vaginosis associated with increased risk of female-to-male HIV-1 transmission: a prospective cohort analysis among African couples. *PLoS Med.* 2012; 9(6):e1001251. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001251> PMID: 22745608
 9. Cherpes TL, Melan MA, Kant JA, Cosentino LA, Meyn LA, Hillier SL. Genital tract shedding of herpes simplex virus type 2 in women: effects of hormonal contraception, bacterial vaginosis, and vaginal group B *Streptococcus* colonization. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2005; 40(10):1422–8. <https://doi.org/10.1086/429622> PMID: 15844064
 10. Brotman RM, Klebanoff MA, Nansel TR, Yu KF, Andrews WW, Zhang J, et al. Bacterial vaginosis assessed by gram stain and diminished colonization resistance to incident gonococcal, chlamydial, and trichomonal genital infection. *J Infect Dis.* 2010; 202(12):1907–15. <https://doi.org/10.1086/657320> PMID: 21067371
 11. Onderdonk AB, Delaney ML, RN F. The human microbiome during bacterial vaginosis. *Clin Microbiol Rev.* 2016; 29:223–38. <https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00075-15> PMID: 26864580
 12. Hay P, Taylor-Robinson D, Lamont R. Diagnosis of bacterial vaginosis in a gynaecology clinic. *BJOG.* 1992; 99(1):63–6.
 13. Afolabi BB, Moses OE, Oduyebo OO. Bacterial Vaginosis and Pregnancy Outcome in Lagos, Nigeria. *Open Forum Infect Dis.* 2016; 3(1):ofw030. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ofid/ofw030> PMID: 26989754
 14. Carey JC, Klebanoff MA, Hauth JC, Hillier SL, Thom EA, Ernest JM, et al. Metronidazole to prevent preterm delivery in pregnant women with asymptomatic bacterial vaginosis. National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Network of Maternal-Fetal Medicine Units. *N Engl J Med.* 2000; 342(8):534–40. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM200002243420802> PMID: 10684911
 15. Thorsen P, Vogel I, Olsen J, Jeune B, Westergaard JG, Jacobsson B, et al. Bacterial vaginosis in early pregnancy is associated with low birth weight and small for gestational age, but not with spontaneous preterm birth: a population-based study on Danish women. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med.* 2006; 19(1):1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14767050500361604> PMID: 16492583
 16. Lawn JE, Blencowe H, Oza S, You D, Lee AC, Waiswa P, et al. Every Newborn: progress, priorities, and potential beyond survival. *Lancet.* 2014; 384(9938):189–205. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(14\)60496-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(14)60496-7) PMID: 24853593
 17. Blencowe H, Krusevec J, de Onis M, Black RE, An X, Stevens GA, et al. National, regional, and worldwide estimates of low birthweight in 2015, with trends from 2000: a systematic analysis. *Lancet Glob Health.* 2019; 7(7):e849–e60. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X\(18\)30565-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(18)30565-5) PMID: 31103470
 18. Blencowe H, Cousens S, Jassir FB, Say L, Chou D, Mathers C, et al. National, regional, and worldwide estimates of stillbirth rates in 2015, with trends from 2000: a systematic analysis. *Lancet Glob Health.* 2016; 4(2):e98–e108. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X\(15\)00275-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(15)00275-2) PMID: 26795602
 19. INS. Enquête par grappes à indicateurs multiples, 2017–2018, rapport de résultats de l'enquête. Kinshasa, République Démocratique du Congo 2019 [<https://eskinshasa.net/en/rapport-mics-2018/>].
 20. Guha-Sapir D, D'Aoust O. Demographic and health consequences of civil conflict. 2011.

21. Nobles J, Frankenberg E, Thomas D. The effects of mortality on fertility: population dynamics after a natural disaster. *Demography*. 2015; 52(1):15–38. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13524-014-0362-1> PMID: 25585644
22. WATCH HR. Democratic Republic of Congo in crisis 2018 [<https://www.hrw.org/blog-feed/democratic-republic-congo-crisis>].
23. United Nations Office for the Coordination of the Humanitarian Affairs. Republique Démocratique du Congo: Réponse collective nécessaire pour l'Est de la RDC 2013 [<https://www.unocha.org/story/republique-democratique-du-congo-reponse-collective-necessaire-pour-l-est-de-la-rdc>].
24. Guide national de prise en charge des infections sexuellement transmissibles selon l'approche syndromique [Internet]. Ministère de la Santé, République Démocratique du Congo. 2006. <https://medbox.org/pdf/5e148832db60a2044c2d475f>.
25. WHO. Pocket book of hospital care for children: guidelines for the management of common childhood illnesses. 2 ed: World Health Organization; 2013 [https://www.who.int/maternal_child_adolescent/documents/child_hospital_care/en/].
26. Nugent RP, Krohn MA, Hillier SL. Reliability of diagnosing bacterial vaginosis is improved by a standardized method of gram stain interpretation. *J Clin Microbiol*. 1991; 29(2):297–301. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.29.2.297-301.1991> PMID: 1706728
27. Landis JR, Koch GG. An application of hierarchical kappa-type statistics in the assessment of majority agreement among multiple observers. *Biometrics*. 1977; 33(2):363–74. PMID: 884196
28. Goldenberg RL, Culhane JF, Iams JD, Romero R. Epidemiology and causes of preterm birth. *Lancet*. 2008; 371(9606):75–84. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(08\)60074-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(08)60074-4) PMID: 18177778
29. Hillier SL, Nugent RP, Eschenbach DA, Krohn MA, Gibbs RS, Martin DH, et al. Association between bacterial vaginosis and preterm delivery of a low-birth-weight infant. The Vaginal Infections and Prematurity Study Group. *N Engl J Med*. 1995; 333(26):1737–42. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199512283332604> PMID: 7491137
30. Payne MS, Bayatibojakhi S. Exploring preterm birth as a polymicrobial disease: an overview of the uterine microbiome. *Front Immunol*. 2014; 5:595. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2014.00595> PMID: 25505898
31. World Health Organisation: Recommended Definitions, Terminology and Format for Statistical Tables Related to The Perinatal Period And Use of A New Certificate For Cause of Perinatal Deaths. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand*. 1977; 56(3):247–53. PMID: 560099
32. Modak T, Arora P, Agnes C, Ray R, Goswami S, Ghosh P, et al. Diagnosis of bacterial vaginosis in cases of abnormal vaginal discharge: comparison of clinical and microbiological criteria. *J Infect Dev Ctries*. 2011; 5(5):353–60. <https://doi.org/10.3855/jidc.1153> PMID: 21628811
33. Coleman JS, Gaydos CA. Molecular Diagnosis of Bacterial Vaginosis: an Update. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2018; 56(9). <https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00342-18> PMID: 29769280
34. Jespers V, Crucitti T, Menten J, Verhelst R, Mwaura M, Mandaliya K, et al. Prevalence and correlates of bacterial vaginosis in different sub-populations of women in sub-Saharan Africa: a cross-sectional study. *PLoS One*. 2014; 9(10):e109670. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0109670> PMID: 25289640
35. Paavonen J, Brunham RC. Bacterial Vaginosis and Desquamative Inflammatory Vaginitis. *N Engl J Med*. 2018; 379(23):2246–54. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra1808418> PMID: 30575452
36. Romoren M, Velauthapillai M, Rahman M, Sundby J, Klouman E, Hjortdahl P. Trichomoniasis and bacterial vaginosis in pregnancy: inadequately managed with the syndromic approach. *Bull World Health Organ*. 2007; 85(4):297–304. <https://doi.org/10.2471/blt.06.031922> PMID: 17546311
37. Kirakoya-Samadoulougou F, Nagot N, Defer MC, Yaro S, Meda N, Robert A. Bacterial vaginosis among pregnant women in Burkina Faso. *Sex Transm Dis*. 2008; 35(12):985–9. <https://doi.org/10.1097/OLQ.0b013e3181844f22> PMID: 18779763
38. Meda N, Sangare L, Lankoande S, Sanou PT, Compaore PI, Catraye J, et al. Pattern of sexually transmitted diseases among pregnant women in Burkina Faso, west Africa: potential for a clinical management based on simple approaches. *Genitourin Med*. 1997; 73(3):188–93. <https://doi.org/10.1136/sti.73.3.188> PMID: 9306899
39. Mengistie Z, Woldeamanuel Y, Asrat D, Adera A. Prevalence of bacterial vaginosis among pregnant women attending antenatal care in Tikur Anbessa University Hospital, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. *BMC Res Notes*. 2014; 7:822. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-0500-7-822> PMID: 25409756
40. Blankhart D, Müller O, Gresenguet G, Weis P. Sexually transmitted infections in young pregnant women in Bangui, Central African Republic. *Int J STD AIDS*. 1999; 10:609–14. <https://doi.org/10.1258/0956462991914753> PMID: 10492429

41. Masha SC, Wahome E, Vaneechoutte M, Cools P, Crucitti T, Sanders EJ. High prevalence of curable sexually transmitted infections among pregnant women in a rural county hospital in Kilifi, Kenya. *PLoS One*. 2017; 12(3):e0175166. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0175166> PMID: 28362869
42. Warr AJ, Pintye J, Kinuthia J, Drake AL, Unger JA, McClelland RS, et al. Sexually transmitted infections during pregnancy and subsequent risk of stillbirth and infant mortality in Kenya: a prospective study. *Sex Transm Infect*. 2019; 95(1):60–6. <https://doi.org/10.1136/sextrans-2018-053597> PMID: 30228109
43. Taha TE, Hoover DR, Dallabetta GA, Kumwenda NI, Mtimavalye LA, Yang L-P, et al. Bacterial vaginosis and disturbances of vaginal flora: association with increased acquisition of HIV. *AIDS*. 1998; 12(13):1699–706. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00002030-199813000-00019> PMID: 9764791
44. Imade GE, Musa J, Sagay AS, Kapiga SH, Sankale JL, Idoko J, et al. Association of Bacterial vaginosis and other Sexually Transmitted Infections with HIV among pregnant women in Nigeria. *Afr J Med Med Sci*. 2014; 43(Suppl 1):23–8. PMID: 26681823
45. Olowe OA, Makanjuola OB, Olowe R, Adekanle DA. Prevalence of vulvovaginal candidiasis, trichomoniasis and bacterial vaginosis among pregnant women receiving antenatal care in Southwestern Nigeria. *Eur J Microbiol Immunol (Bp)*. 2014; 4(4):193–7. <https://doi.org/10.1556/EUJMI-D-14-00027> PMID: 25544891
46. Joyisa N, Moodley D, Nkosi T, Talakgale R, Sebiloane M, Naidoo M, et al. Asymptomatic Bacterial Vaginosis in Pregnancy and Missed Opportunities for Treatment: A Cross-Sectional Observational Study. *Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol*. 2019; 2019:7808179. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/7808179> PMID: 31186607
47. Shayo PA, Kihunrwa A, Massinde AN, Mirambo M, Rumanyika R, Ngwalida N, et al. Prevalence of bacterial vaginosis and associated factors among pregnant women attending at Bugando Medical Centre, Mwanza, Tanzania. *Tanzan J Health Res*. 2012; 14(3):175–82. <https://doi.org/10.4314/thrb.v14i3.3> PMID: 26591754
48. Msuya SE, Uriyo J, Stray-Pedersen B, Sam NE, Mbizvo EM. The effectiveness of a syndromic approach in managing vaginal infections among pregnant women in northern Tanzania. *East Afr J Public Health*. 2009; 6(3):263–7. PMID: 20803916
49. Dakey Koku A. A T, Bossa Yaovi A., Amevo Komlan, Kpotsra Amétépé, Ameyapoh Yaovi. Vaginal Infections in Pregnant Women at the Bè Hospital in Lomé (Togo) from 2008 to 2013. 2018. <https://doi.org/10.4081/jphia.2018.860> PMID: 30687480
50. Gray RH, Wabwire-Mangen F, Kigozi G, Sewankambo NK, Serwadda D, Moulton LH, et al. Randomized trial of presumptive sexually transmitted disease therapy during pregnancy in Rakai, Uganda. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2001; 185(5):1209–17.
51. Kurewa NE, Mapingure MP, Munjoma MW, Chirenje MZ, Rusakaniko S, Stray-Pedersen B. The burden and risk factors of Sexually Transmitted Infections and Reproductive Tract Infections among pregnant women in Zimbabwe. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2010; 10:127. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2334-10-127> PMID: 20492681
52. Tibaldi C, Cappello N, Latino MA, Polarolo G, Masuelli G, Cavallo F, et al. Maternal risk factors for abnormal vaginal flora during pregnancy. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet*. 2016; 133(1):89–93. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijgo.2015.07.035> PMID: 26874867
53. Paavonen Jorma, Brunham RC. Bacterial Vaginosis and Desquamative Inflammatory Vaginitis. *N Engl J Med*. 2018; 379(2246–54). <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra1808418> PMID: 30575452
54. Fethers KA, Fairley CK, Hocking JS, Gurrin LC, Bradshaw CS. Sexual risk factors and bacterial vaginosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2008; 47(11):1426–35. <https://doi.org/10.1086/592974> PMID: 18947329
55. Redelinghuys MJ, Ehlers MM, Dreyer AW, Kock MM. Normal flora and bacterial vaginosis in pregnancy: an overview. *Crit Rev Microbiol*. 2016; 42(3):352–63. <https://doi.org/10.3109/1040841X.2014.954522> PMID: 25834920
56. Verstraelen H, Verhelst R, Vaneechoutte M, Temmerman M. The epidemiology of bacterial vaginosis in relation to sexual behaviour. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2010; 10:81. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2334-10-81> PMID: 20353563
57. Brown JE, Ayowa OB, Brown RC. Dry and tight: sexual practices and potential AIDS risk in Zaire. *Soc Sci Med*. 1993; 37(8):989–94. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0277-9536\(93\)90433-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0277-9536(93)90433-5) PMID: 8235746
58. Low N, Chersich MF, Schmidlin K, Egger M, Francis SC, van de Wijgert JH, et al. Intravaginal practices, bacterial vaginosis, and HIV infection in women: individual participant data meta-analysis. *PLoS Med*. 2011; 8(2):e1000416. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000416> PMID: 21358808
59. Aslan E, Bechelaghem N. To 'douche' or not to 'douche': hygiene habits may have detrimental effects on vaginal microbiota. *J Obstet Gynaecol*. 2018; 38(5):678–81. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01443615.2017.1395398> PMID: 29433363

60. Li XD, Tong F, Zhang XJ, Pan WJ, Chen ML, Wang CC, et al. Incidence and risk factors of bacterial vaginosis among pregnant women: a prospective study in Maanshan city, Anhui Province, China. *J Obstet Gynaecol Res*. 2015; 41(8):1214–22. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jog.12704> PMID: 25913643
61. Rathod SD, Klausner JD, Krupp K, Reingold AL, Madhivanan P. Epidemiologic features of Vulvovaginal Candidiasis among reproductive-age women in India. *Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol*. 2012; 2012:859071. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/859071> PMID: 23118494
62. Aguin TJ, Sobel JD. Vulvovaginal candidiasis in pregnancy. *Curr Infect Dis Rep*. 2015; 17(6):462. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11908-015-0462-0> PMID: 25916994
63. Sobel JD. Vulvovaginal candidosis. *Lancet*. 2007; 369(9577):1961–71. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(07\)60917-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(07)60917-9) PMID: 17560449
64. Londono SC, Hartnett HE, Williams LB. Antibacterial Activity of Aluminum in Clay from the Colombian Amazon. *Environ Sci Technol*. 2017; 51(4):2401–8. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b04670> PMID: 28121138
65. Behroozian S, Svensson SL, Davies J. Kisameet Clay Exhibits Potent Antibacterial Activity against the ESKAPE Pathogens. *MBio*. 2016; 7(1):e01842–15. <https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01842-15> PMID: 26814180
66. Svensson SL, Behroozian S, Xu W, Surette MG, Li L, Davies J. Kisameet Glacial Clay: an Unexpected Source of Bacterial Diversity. *MBio*. 2017; 8(3). <https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00590-17> PMID: 28536287
67. Neggers YH, Nansel TR, Andrews WW, Schwebke JR, Yu KF, Goldenberg RL, et al. Dietary intake of selected nutrients affects bacterial vaginosis in women. *J Nutr*. 2007; 137(9):2128–33. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/137.9.2128> PMID: 17709453
68. Lumish RA, Young SL, Lee S, Cooper E, Pressman E, Guillet R, et al. Gestational iron deficiency is associated with pica behaviors in adolescents. *J Nutr*. 2014; 144(10):1533–9. <https://doi.org/10.3945/jn.114.192070> PMID: 25122650
69. Odongo AO, Moturi WN, Mbutia EK. Heavy metals and parasitic geohelminths toxicity among geophagous pregnant women: a case study of Nakuru Municipality, Kenya. *Environ Geochem Health*. 2016; 38(1):123–31. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10653-015-9690-3> PMID: 25750054
70. Svare JA, Schmidt H, Hansen BB, Lose G. Bacterial vaginosis in a cohort of Danish pregnant women: prevalence and relationship with preterm delivery, low birthweight and perinatal infections. *BJOG*. 2006; 113(12):1419–25. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-0528.2006.01087.x> PMID: 17010117
71. Metgud CS, Naik VA, Mallapur MD. Factors affecting birth weight of a newborn—a community based study in rural Karnataka, India. *PLoS One*. 2012; 7(7):e40040. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0040040> PMID: 22792210
72. Honda H, Yokoyama T, Akimoto Y, Tanimoto H, Teramoto M, Teramoto H. The frequent shift to intermediate flora in preterm delivery cases after abnormal vaginal flora screening. *Sci Rep*. 2014; 4:4799. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep04799> PMID: 24762852
73. Shimaoka M, Yo Y, Doh K, Kotani Y, Suzuki A, Tsuji I, et al. Association between preterm delivery and bacterial vaginosis with or without treatment. *Sci Rep*. 2019; 9(1):509. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-36964-2> PMID: 30679584
74. Manns-James L. Bacterial vaginosis and preterm birth. *J Midwifery Womens Health*. 2011; 56(6):575–83. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1542-2011.2011.00086.x> PMID: 22060217
75. Jakovljevic A, Bogavac M, Nikolic A, Tosic MM, Novakovic Z, Stajic Z. The influence of bacterial vaginosis on gestational week of the completion of delivery and biochemical markers of inflammation in the serum. *Vojnosanit Pregl*. 2014; 71(10):931–5. PMID: 25518272
76. Dos Santos Santiago Lopes G, Cools P, Verstraelen H, Trog M, Missine G, El Aila N, et al. Longitudinal study of the dynamics of vaginal microflora during two consecutive menstrual cycles. *PLoS One*. 2011; 6(11):e28180. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0028180> PMID: 22140538